FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1230 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1231 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1232 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1233 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1234 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1235 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1236 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1237 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1238 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1239 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1240 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1241 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1331 OF 2005
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2023 OF 2005

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders

Manadar M. Goswami for the petitioners.

Sarang Khazode i/b. Paras Kuhad
for the respondent.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 25th April 2006.

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the

petitioners.

. It is not in dispute that after having brought the complainants on C.R.T. establishment by the Government by order dated 1st August, 1991, the complainants are working with the petitioners continuously and they have completed continuous period of five years with the petitioners during the period of complaint. It is needless to mention that subsequent events taking place during the pendency of the complaint cannot be ignored. As a matter of fact, complainants (respondents herein) have completed more than ten years by now. In that view of the matter, I do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order directing the petitioners to extend the benefits of C.R.T. and R.T. to the respondents/ complainants. The view taken is a reasonable and possible view.

. In the result, all the petitions are dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.

(V.C.DAGA, J.)